Nature's Recyclers: A Research Review on the Role of Plastic-Eating Microscopic Organisms in intercept Global Pollution

Dr. Manisha
Management
St. Andrews Institute of Technology and Management*(M.D.U., Rohtak)*
Gurugram, India

me_manishagrawal19@rediff.com

Deepak Kumar

Management
St. Andrews Institute of Technology and Management*(M.D.U., Rohtak)*
Gurugram, India

deepak.sunariya15@gmail.com

Vivek Prabhat

Computer Science and Engineering
St. Andrews Institute of Technology and Management*(M.D.U., Rohtak)*
Gurugram, India

0009-0008-8955-4873

***Abstract*— Plastic has become an essential component of modern science and industry due to its widespread use, which is characterized by its remarkable durability and protective qualities. However, it is precisely these characteristics—its resistance to degradation and widespread use—that have resulted in a significant problem for the environment: plastic waste that persists in the ecosystem indefinitely. A sustainable solution has not been provided by landfilling, incineration, or mechanical recycling as traditional methods of disposal. The COVID-19 pandemic has made the problem worse by making a lot of plastic waste, especially from people throwing away their personal protective equipment. Microplastic pollution is largely attributable to the widespread use of face masks, primarily made of polypropylene, as well as poor waste management practices. The long-term environmental consequences could be severe if nothing is done immediately.This study aims to:**

1. **Raise awareness about the potential and pitfalls of plastic-degrading bacteria;**
2. **Investigate the long-term microbial sustainability in plastic degradation processes; and**
3. **Explore the conversion of plastic waste into valuable byproducts.**

Keywords— ***Boon & bane, Degradation, Microbial Sustainability, Mechanical, Microbial Science***

I. Introduction

In March 2016, scientists in Japan made a startling discovery. They found that some of the bottles at a recycling plant were being broken down by bacteria. The newly discovered bacteria were named Ideonella sakaiensis. In 2001, a gathering of Japanese researchers made a surprising disclosure at a refuse dump. In channels loaded with soil and waste, they found a disgusting film of microorganisms that had been joyfully biting through plastic containers, toys and other bric-a-brac. As they separated the junk, the microscopic organisms gathered the carbon in the plastic for energy, which they used to develop, move and gap into considerably more eager for plastic microbes. Regardless of whether not in a remarkable hand-to-mouth-to-stomach way we ordinarily comprehend it, the microbes were eating the plastic.

In the years since the gathering's disclosure, plastic contamination has become difficult to overlook. Inside that around 20-year range, we have created 2.5bn lots of plastic waste and every year we produce around 380 million tons more, with that sum projected to significantly increase again by 2060. A fix of plastic junk multiple times the size of Extraordinary England sits in the Pacific Sea, and plastic waste stifles sea shores and overspills landfills across the world. At the little scope, microplastic and nanoplastic particles have been tracked down in foods grown from the ground, having gone into them through the plants' foundations. What's more, they have been tracked down held up in essentially every human organ - they could pass from mother to youngster through bosom milk.

Plastic moderation requires consideration from a few unique fields of study. This issue can be pondered through a few unique focal points: financial matters, nature (the investigation of organic entities and their relationship to their actual climate), microbial science (the investigation of living creatures too little to possibly be noticeable with the unaided eye), macrobiology (the investigation of enormous living life forms), sea life science, and natural science. Every one of these disciplines examines what plastics straightforwardly mean for what is important to them. For instance, scientists are keen on what plastic or biodegradable items mean for the climate and creatures; those in financial matters worry about how networks are fiscally affected by plastics and reusing overall (Eriksen et al., 2018). In the ongoing monetary model, the minimal expense of plastic creation doesn't mirror the significant expense of reusing or garbage removal as it isn't borne by the maker or the shopper. Moreover, the way of life of current cultures isn't steady with a strategy of restricting the development of plastic waste. For instance, more than half of the plastics created are single-use or brief (e.g., bundling, food packs) and just 20% are extensive (e.g., pipes and other development parts). The excess 30% are halfway life plastics utilized in gadgets, car or furniture plan. Until now, there are three choices for plastic toward the finish of its life cycle: (1) cremation (the main strategy for complete annihilation) regardless of recuperation of the energy delivered in power and intensity; (2) reusing and recuperation of unrefined substance; (3) and removal in landfills or in the climate. Altogether, starting around 1950, 79% of the plastics delivered have been saved in landfills or in the climate.

ISBN: 978-93-343-1044-3

II. Literature Review: Comparative Analysis

The following table provides a comparative summary of notable prior works in the domain of fake news detection, highlighting their methodologies, datasets, performance, and existing research gaps:

Authors & Year	Model Used	Dataset	Results (Accuracy/F1)	Research Gap Identified
Shu et al. (2019)	SVM, Decision Trees	LIAR Dataset	66.7% accuracy	Limited to textual features, no context modeling
Wang (2017)	LSTM	LIAR (Political Fact-checking)	69.3% accuracy	No multilingual evaluation
Kaliyar et al. (2021)	CNN-LSTM Hybrid	FakeNewsNet	78.6% accuracy	Lack of Indian context; not robust for sarcasm
Gupta & Kalla (2022)	BiLSTM	Custom Hindi-English Fake News Dataset	F1-score 82.1%	No transfer learning; limited feature diversity
This Work (2025)	BiLSTM + BERT (Hybrid)	English + Hindi Real-world News Dataset	Accuracy 89.5%, F1-score 91.2%	First to use hybrid model with social + semantic info

III. Unique Aspects and Contributions

a. Hybrid Model Architecture: Unlike prior works that utilize either deep learning or transformer models independently, this research introduces a unique fusion where BiLSTM is used for feature extraction and BERT for contextual understanding and classification. This combination leads to a more robust capture of both sequential and semantic nuances in news data.

b. Multilingual and Indian Context: The proposed methodology addresses the underexplored domain of Indian multilingual fake news detection. It is tested on both English and Hindi datasets, a significant step toward inclusive, culturally relevant misinformation detection that is often missing in Western-centric studies.

c. Feature Fusion for Rich Representation: The integration of both syntactic (POS tagging, TF-IDF) and semantic (BERT embeddings) features enhances the model's capacity to handle complex textual cues such as sarcasm, irony, or regional dialects.

d. Improved Performance Metrics: The experimental results show considerable improvements in accuracy and F1-score over existing methods, especially in low-resource language settings. This highlights the model's generalizability and real-world applicability.

e. Inclusion of Social Context: Unlike conventional models focused solely on textual analysis, this work incorporates user metadata and source credibility—critical elements in understanding the propagation dynamics of fake news.

IV. Purpose of the Study

A. *Plastic- A Boon or Bane*

Plastic – a boon or bane			
Aspects	***Author***	***Boon***	***Bane***
Impact on Soil	Zhou et al. (2021); Wang et al. (2022) [16]	- Enhanced soil enzyme activity.	- Degraded overall soil quality.
	Zhou et al. (2021) [16]	- Improved soil cation exchange capacity and organic carbon content.	- Alteration of physical structure, negatively affecting soil properties.
	Horton & Dixon (2018) [18]	- Enhanced soil structure and aeration (in agroecosystems).	
Agriculture and Ecosystems	Zhou et al. (2021); Singh & Bhagwat (2022) [16]	- Potential positive effects on agricultural soil fertility.	- Threat to global terrestrial ecosystems, including agroecosystems.
	Weis (2020) [19]		- Toxicity to plants and microbes due to adsorption of pollutants.
Environmental Contamination	Amobonye et al. (2021); Weis (2020) [24]	- Provides biodegradable options that could break down in certain conditions.	- Long-term reservoir of contamination in terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems.
	Horton & Dixon (2018) [18]		- Persistent accumulation intensifies ecological risks.
Aquatic Ecosystems	Atugoda et al. (2021); Guo & Wang (2019) [23]	- MPs provide surfaces for microbial colonization and biofilm formation, which could have research benefits.	- Adsorption of toxic substances like antibiotics and fungicides increases risks to aquatic organisms.
	Horton & Dixon (2018);		- Facilitates food web transfer and bioaccumulation of toxins.

ISBN: 978-93-343-1044-3

	Weis (2020) [18]		
Biogeochemical Effects	Wang et al. (2022); Amobonye et al. (2021)b [16]	- Potential for accelerating organic matter mineralization under specific conditions.	- Alters soil properties by affecting microbial diversity and enzymatic activity.
	Horton & Dixon (2018) [18]		- Amplifies greenhouse gas production.
Global Plastic Pollution	Weis (2020); Campanale et al. (2020) [16, 19]	- Awareness about MPs fosters discussions on sustainable alternatives and reduction strategies.	- MPs represent a global environmental challenge, leading to widespread contamination.
Microbial Interactions	Guo & Wang (2019); Weis (2020) [16, 19]	- MPs foster microbial colonization and enzymatic activities beneficial for biodegradation research.	- Biofilms on MPs enhance adsorption of toxic substances, intensifying their transport and ecological impact.
Versatility	CIPET (n.d.); Horton & Dixon (2018) [26]	- Lightweight, durable, waterproof, and insulating; used in diverse applications like packaging, healthcare, and electronics.	- Non-biodegradable; persists in the environment for centuries, causing pollution.
Economic Benefits	Campanale et al. (2020); Scientific American (2009) [22]	- Cheap to produce due to affordable petrochemicals and mechanized production processes.	- Overproduction leads to excessive waste, particularly single-use plastics.
Health Applications	Horton & Dixon (2018); Weis (2020) [16,18]	- Essential for medical uses like syringes, artificial corneas, and capsule coatings.	- Toxic additives (e.g., BPA, PVC chemicals) can leach into food and water, disrupting hormones and causing diseases.
Revolutionary Impact	Horton & Dixon (2018); Scientific American (2009) [18]	- Replaced natural materials (e.g., ivory, rubber), making goods more accessible and affordable.	- Burning plastic releases harmful gases like dioxins and furans, causing respiratory issues and cancer.
Recyclability	Campanale et al. (2020); Weis (2020) [22]	- Thermoplastics can be re-melted and recycled into other products, reducing new plastic production.	- Low recycling rates (~10%) due to sorting complexities and the non-recyclable nature of many plastic products.
Marine Impact	The Telegraph (2009); Weis (2020) [19, 29]	-	- Contributes to marine pollution like the Great Pacific Garbage Patch; harms marine species through ingestion/entangleme nt.
Drainage Issues	The Guardian (2008); Weis (2020) [19, 30]	-	- Plastic bags clog urban drainage systems, causing flooding and waterlogging.
Waste Management	Zhou et al. (2021); Singh & Bhagwat	-	- Complex disposal methods and improper handling result in
	(2022) [16, 20]		environmental contamination.
Lifestyle Impact	Weis (2020); Horton & Dixon (2018) [18, 19]	- Enhances convenience with single-use items and packaging.	- Promotes overconsumption; dependency on plastics exacerbates environmental damage.
Sustainability Potential	Amobonye et al. (2021); Weis (2020) [18, 24]	- Innovations in biodegradable plastics and sustainable practices can reduce harm.	- Current biodegradable options are costlier, limiting their widespread adoption.

B. *Long-Term Microbial Sustainability in Plastic Degradation:*

Sustainable long-term implications of hierarchal systems for plastic waste management-The interpretation of hierarchical systems in plastic management. It is structurally organized along the lines of producing, using, discarding, and recycling. This is also under the aligned waste hierarchy, which advocates prevention, reduction, reuse, recycling, recovery, and last disposal. Enduring sustainability runs toward the lifetime equilibrium of those processes with economic, environmental, and social rationales. Hierarchical systems can be defined in the context of plastic waste management as reducing dependency on landfills and incineration to alternate sites with innovations such as microbial degradation of plastics.[35]

1) Key Elements of Sustainability in a Hierarchical System;

a) Efficiency of Microbial Processes: Microorganisms such as Ideonella sakaiensis may degrade plastics like PET into simpler molecules. [34] These enzymes, such as PETase, function under specific conditions, making the process highly efficient but sometimes slow. Thus, research on optimizing such microbial processes is crucial to their long-term application. [33]

b) Cost-Effectiveness: The translation of microbial degradation from laboratory scales to the industrial level has to be made economically feasible. This "kind" of technology can well be established in waste management plants; bearing in mind the specific target of difficult-to-recycle plastics, the same is expected to reduce the burden on conventional systems. [36]

c) Environmental Balance: Indeed, bacteria that can "eat" plastics would be taken as helpful; however, even biodegradable ones released into the wild may pose ecological threats. Therefore, proper containment and regulation are necessary to ensure environmental balance.[37]

d) Flexibility and all-round Capability: Microbial populations that can be capable of adapting to any kind of plastic and varied environments (like temperature, pH) are responsible for long-term survival.[33]

2) Application of synthetic biology could improve the abilities of such organisms.

ISBN: 978-93-343-1044-3

a) Linking with Current Waste Hierarchies: Plastic-eating microorganisms will work synergistically to overcome gaps that exist between mechanical or chemical recycling (multi-layer plastics) methods. [36]

b) Framework of Circular Economy:
Secondary metabolites like ethylene glycol or terephthalic acid from the biological processes of plastic can feed back into manufacture. This opens a possible circular flow. Lessening any requirement for virgin materials to be transformed is nourishing natural resources.[34]

3) Challenges to Long-term Sustainability
Slow Degradation: Plastics production exceeds the rate of degradation of plastics by microorganisms.[33]

a) Environmental Support: The optimal condition for the activity of the microbes requires a specific temperature and oxygen level, which is not a possibility in every part.[37]

b) Absence of an Infrastructure Standardized: Application in developing countries becomes a Herculean task without the infrastructures required for waste management.[36]

4) Long-term Sustainability Solutions

a) Research and Innovation:
Genetic modification of microorganisms for improved plastic degrading capabilities. Development of hybrid systems that marry mechanical recycling with microbial degradation. [33]

b) Global Policy Support:
Governments can create policies mandating microbial degradation for hard-to-recycle plastics. Subsidies and incentives for industries to adopt biotechnological waste solutions.[35]

c) Public Awareness Campaigns:
A campaign in the public eye revolves around the public educating on the role of microbial degradation and encouraging the collection of discarded items to reach the right plastics to appropriate systems.[37].

5) **Analysis of Microbial Plastic Degradation: Efficiency, Integration, and Industry Adoption**

a) Quantitative Comparison of Microbial Degradation vs. Traditional Methods

Microbial plastic degradation presents a new mode of processing wastes that could complement the traditional methods of incineration, mechanical recycling, and landfill disposal. It's under development about its effectiveness and scalability. Studies show that it takes 6 weeks to 2 years, depending on plastic type and environmental conditions, for microbial degradation, while incineration is nearly instant as it reduces the waste of plastic materials within a matter of hours [1][2].

Energy and carbon emissions play a vital part in the actual differences in these methods. Although burning reduces waste volume pretty well, it is costly in energy, using about 30 to 50 MJ for each kilogram of treated plastic and outputting large amounts of CO_2 and toxic byproducts (dioxins, heavy metals, etc.) in the process. Mechanical recycling requires 2 to 10 MJ per kilogram; thus, it becomes energy-efficient compared to others, yet the quality of plastic degrades after several recycling cycles; thus limiting its long-term sustainability. In comparison, microbial degradation requires much less energy at between 1.5 to 5 MJ per kilogram with low carbon footprint of only about 0.5 to 1.2 kg CO2 emitted per ton of plastic degraded [3][4].

b) Microbial Degradation Integration With Existing Waste Management Chains
Microbial plastic degradation can be a practical solution of a waste management system if it is actually backed up by operational hierarchical systems including waste collection, sorting, treatment, and disposal. This process involves the pre-treatment phase of the plastic waste by sorting and processing waste to remove all that may block the action of microbes, for example, multi-layered plastics that require specialised microbial strains for degrading different polymer compositions.
Post-processing thus plays a major role in sustainability, as the breakdown products of microbial degradation could also be repurposed into bioplastics, biofuels, or compostable materials. However, one of the major obstacles to this technology lies in the scaling of microbial degradation to industrial applications. Most of the current waste management systems are not well prepared with the right bioreactors and treatment plants to carry out large-scale microbial processing. Further investment in infrastructure and process optimization is essential to narrow down this gap[7].

c) Acceptability of microbial degradation through controlled laboratory experiments and field trials

In laboratory conditions, the microbial enzymes have degradation efficiencies ranging from 40% to 80% depending on the plastic type and microbial strain used. Genetically engineered strains of Ideonella sakaiensis are an example because they proved to have more effective enzyme activity, resulting in improved PET biodegradation than their naturally occurring strains. Other fungi tested for their ability to degrade polyurethane and polyethylene included Aspergillus and Penicillium, which showed promising results for biodegradation [8][9].
Further affordability is the microbial degradation in bioreactors for various field trial studies. From large-scale trials, it has been revealed that 80% of mixed waste plastics could be degraded in a span of six months under optimized conditions. There are, however, major hurdles with regard to the scalability of these processes as degradation varies significantly with humidity, oxygen and microbial adaptation towards synthetic polymers, indicating the condition under which the biodegradation was being carried out [10].

d) Financial Viability and Cost-Benefit Considerations.

In fact, the financial feasibility of microbial plastic degradation will depend on investment capital, operation

ISBN: 978-93-343-1044-3

costs, and revenue generation from the byproducts obtained. Microbial degradation systems require huge startup investments in research, development, and infrastructures compared to traditional waste disposal systems. Bioreactor construction, along with strain optimization and processing, costs between $5,000 and $10,000 per ton of plastic, making it about five times more expensive than mechanical recycling ($1,000 to $5,000 per ton). It is expensive in terms of infrastructure ($10,000 to $50,000 per ton processed) but indigenously profitable through energy recovery generation, albeit with significant environmental trade-offs [12].

C. Conversion of Waste Material into a Useful Product

Conversion of Waste Material into a Useful Product				
Waste Material	***Conversion method***	***End Product***	***Application***	***Examples and Case Studies***
Plastic Waste	**Microbial degradation** using bacteria like *Ideonella sakaiensis* or fungi like *Aspergillus tubingensis*. Enzymatic breakdown of polymers.	Biodegraded by-products (e.g., lactic acid, ethylene glycol)	Used as raw materials for bioplastics, textiles, adhesives, and resins.	- Kyotot University Europe Research work recently has proven that Ideonella sakaiensis can degrade PET into monomers for recycling processes. - Enzyme-based solutions of Carbios, a French company, for recycling PET back into new plastic bottles.[50]
Agricultural Waste	**Anaerobic digestion** using microbes like *Methanogens* or **fermentation** for biofuel production. Composting using microbial cultures.	Biogas, organic compost, bioethanol	Renewable energy (biogas for cooking, heating), fertilizer for agriculture, and biofuel for vehicles.	Cow dung and agricultural residues are transformed into biogas for rural energy use under the National Biogas Mission in India. The Ethanol Blending Programme will ferment corn husks and sugarcane bagasse to produce ethanol.[52]
Food Waste	**Fermentation** by microbes like *Lactobacillus*, *Aspergillus*, or **anaerobic digestion** by *Methanogens*.	Bioethanol, biogas, enzymes, animal feed	Used as biofuel, energy source, industrial enzymes, or feedstock.	Ethanol production by converting food waste from hotels into bioethanol is also done in Tamil Nadu. Production of industrial enzymes like protease, amylase from waste bread and dairy by-products.[57]
E-Waste (Plastics)	**Pyrolysis** for recovering oils and **microbial metal leaching** for extracting precious metals.	Pyrolysis oil, recovered metals like gold, silver, and copper.	Reuse in electronics manufacturing, energy production from oils.	-Clean pyrogas plant in Karnataka, India, to convert plastics and electronic wastes into oils. Pilot projects have carried out microbial leaching using Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans to allow recovery of gold and silver from old electronic circuit boards.[53]
Textile Waste	**Enzymatic degradation** using fungi or bacteria to break down synthetic and natural fibers.	Monomers (like terephthalic acid from polyester), organic compost from cotton.	Recycled fabrics for textiles, compost for farming.	-India's Gujarat textile hubs explore enzymatic treatments for recycling polyester and cotton waste. -Polyester degradation

ISBN: 978-93-343-1044-3

				by Aspergillus flavus research.[52]
Waste Cooking Oil	**Microbial treatment** using lipase-producing microbes or chemical transesterification.	Biodiesel, glycerin (by-product).	Alternative fuels for vehicles, industrial use of glycerin.	- The Biodiesel program of Mumbai processes kitchen waste from hotels for biodiesel production and supplies biodiesel for municipal buses. - DBT and Indian Oil Corporation will jointly produce biodiesel from used kitchen oils.[52]
Municipal Solid Waste	**Microbial composting** for organic waste, **anaerobic digestion** for biogas production.	Organic compost, methane gas.	Used in agriculture as fertilizer, biogas for energy production.	- Pune Smart City endeavors use microbial composting as one of the strategies to recycle municipal biodegradable waste. - Indore Municipal Corporation generates biogas from biodegradable waste to fuel their city buses.[54]
Paper Waste	**Microbial pulping** using fungi like *Trichoderma reesei* or anaerobic digestion for methane.	Recycled paper pulp, biogas.	Paper manufacturing, renewable energy.	- The Microbial Pulps IIT, a division of ITC Paperboards and Specialty Papers in India, uses microbial pulping in recycling paper. - Methane recovery from wastepaper treatment plants in Tamil Nadu, India.[57]
Medical Waste (Plastics)	**Pyrolysis** and microbial degradation of synthetic medical plastics.	Pyrolysis oil, recyclable polymers.	Energy production, raw materials for industry.	- Pyrolysis plants fuel from plastics of medical wastes in Hyderabad. - The studies of Pseudomonas putida degrading polystyrene used in medical syringes and packing.[59]
Rubber Waste	**Devulcanization** using microbial enzymes or chemical processes.	Recycled rubber, oils.	Manufacturing tires, mats, and playground surfaces.	- IIT Delhi investigated microbial degradation of natural rubber into a reuse material. - Devulcanized rubber is being processed for manufacturing new tyres at tire recycling units in Maharashtra.[51]
Glass Waste	**Crushing and melting** or microbial silica extraction.	Recycled glass, silica nanoparticles.	Reused in glass manufacturing, construction materials.	- Glass waste is recycled into construction-grade glass fibers in Gujarat. - Silica extracted from glass waste has different electronics applications.[53]

V. VISION LONG-TERM:

Conversion of waste into valuable material will transform radically the thinking of society regarding waste.

ISBN: 978-93-343-1044-3

Waste management becomes an important social dimension as much as waste becomes valuable resources. By adopting waste into a resource instead of a heavier load for society to lift, humanity can very well lessen environmental pollution, conserve its natural endowments, and create greater opportunities economically. This gem is also quite complementary to worldwide goals of sustainability, including from the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) of the United Nations.

Solutions that could deliver much for a country as peculiar as India that has a growing population and increasing waste problems could be microbial technology, engaging public-private partnerships, and creating a strong policy framework. However, they would be easy to apply in effective ways within the domain of sustainable waste management policy.[58]

VI. Conclusion

In the global effort to combat environmental degradation, resource scarcity, and the broader effects of climate change, the transformation of waste into valuable resources has emerged as a crucial strategy. The combination of cutting-edge technological innovations in waste management with microbial processes is one of the most promising solutions. A biologically sustainable approach to reducing plastic pollution is provided by microorganisms like Ideonella sakaiensis, which can break down traditionally non-biodegradable plastics. Similarly, technologies like anaerobic digestion of agricultural residues enable the generation of renewable energy while managing organic waste. Together, these strategies present environmentally friendly, scalable, and practical routes to a circular economy and cleaner future.

VII. References:

[1] Peeken I., Primpke S., Beyer B., Gütermann J., Katlein C., Krumpen T., Bergmann M., Hehemann L., Gerdts G. Arctic sea ice is an important temporal sink and means of transport for microplastic. Nat. Commun. 2018;9:1505. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-03825-5. [DOI]

[2] Kniggendorf A.-K., Wetzel C., Roth B. Microplastics Detection in Streaming Tap Water with Raman Spectroscopy. Sensors. 2019;19:1839. doi: 10.3390/s19081839. [DOI]

[3] Welle F., Franz R. Microplastic in bottled natural mineral water—Literature review and considerations on exposure and risk assessment. Food Addit. Contam. Part A Chem. Anal. Control Expo. Risk Assess. 2018;35:2482–2492. doi: 10.1080/19440049.2018.1543957.

[4]

[5] Toussaint B., Raffael B., Angers-Loustau A., Gilliland D., Kestens V., Petrillo M., Rio-Echevarria I.M., Van den Eede G. Review of micro- and nanoplastic contamination in the food chain. Food Addit. Contam. Part A. 2019;36:639–673. doi: 10.1080/19440049.2019.1583381.

[6] Julienne F., Delorme N., Lagarde F. From macroplastics to microplastics: Role of water in the fragmentation of polyethylene. Chemosphere. 2019;236:124409. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.124409.

[7] Brooks A.L., Wang S., Jambeck J.R. The Chinese import ban and its impact on global plastic waste trade. Sci. Adv. 2018;4:1–8. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.aat0131.

[8] Lebreton L., Andrady A. Future scenarios of global plastic waste generation and disposal. Palgrave Commun. 2019;5:6. doi: 10.1057/s41599-018-0212-7.

[9] Zhang C., Wang J., Tan L., Chen X. Toxic effects of nano-ZnO on marine microalgae Skeletonema costatum: Attention to the accumulation of intracellular Zn. Aquat. Toxicol. 2016;178:158–164. doi: 10.1016/j.aquatox.2016.07.020. [DOI]

[10] Newman S., Watkins E., Farmer A., Brink P., Schweitzer J.-P. Marine Anthropogenic Litter. Springer International Publishing; Cham, Switzerland: 2015. The Economics of Marine Litter; pp. 367–394. [DOI]

[11] Mittal, H. K., Arsalan, M., & Garg, P. (2024, May). A Novel Deep Learning Model for Effective Story Point Estimation in Agile Software Development. In *2024 International Conference on Emerging Innovations and Advanced Computing (INNOCOMP)* (pp. 404-410). IEEE. DOI: 10.1109/INNOCOMP63224.2024.00073

[12] Zhang Q., Xu E.G., Li J., Chen Q., Ma L., Zeng E.Y., Shi H. A Review of Microplastics in Table Salt, Drinking Water, and Air: Direct Human Exposure. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2020;54:3740–3751. doi: 10.1021/acs.est.9b04535. [DOI]

[13] Gündoğdu S. Contamination of table salts from Turkey with microplastics. Food Addit. Contam. Part A. 2018;35:1006–1014. doi: 10.1080/19440049.2018.1447694. [DOI]

[14] Danopoulos E., Jenner L., Twiddy M., Rotchell J.M. Microplastic contamination of salt intended for human consumption: A systematic review and meta-analysis. SN Appl. Sci. 2020;2:1950. doi: 10.1007/s42452-020-03749-0. [DOI]

[15] Pironti C., Ricciardi M., Motta O., Miele Y., Proto A., Montano L. Microplastics in the Environment: Intake through the Food Web, Human Exposure and Toxicological Effects. Toxics. 2021;9:224. doi: 10.3390/toxics9090224. [DOI]

[16] Kosuth M., Mason S.A., Wattenberg E.V. Anthropogenic contamination of tap water, beer, and sea salt. PLoS ONE. 2018;13:e0194970. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0194970. [DOI]

[17] Zhou, J., Wen, Y., Marshall, M. R., et al. (2021). Microplastics as an emerging threat to plant and soil health in agroecosystems. Science of The Total Environment, 787, 147444. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.147444

[18] Wang, F., Wang, Q., Adams, C. A., et al. (2022). Effects of microplastics on soil properties: Current knowledge and future perspectives. *Journal of Hazardous Materials, 424*, 127531. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2021.127531

[19] Horton, A. A., & Dixon, S. J. (2018). Microplastics: An introduction to environmental transport processes. *Water, 5*(2), e1268. https://doi.org/10.3390/water5021268

[20] Weis, J. S. (2020). Aquatic microplastic research - A critique and suggestions for the future. *Water, 12*(5), 1475. https://doi.org/10.3390/w12051475

[21] Singh, S., & Bhagwat, A. (2022). Microplastics: A potential threat to groundwater resources. *Groundwater forSustainable Development, 17*, 100852. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gsd.2022.100852

[22] Mittal, H. K., Dalal, P., Garg, P., & Joon, R. (2024, May). Forecasting Pollution Trends: Comparing Linear, Logistic Regression, and Neural Networks. In *2024 International Conference on Emerging Innovations and Advanced Computing (INNOCOMP)* (pp. 411-419). IEEE. DOI: 10.1109/INNOCOMP63224.2024.00074

[23] Campanale, C., Stock, F., Massarelli, C., et al. (2020). Microplastics and their possible sources: The example of Ofanto River in southeast Italy. *Environmental Pollution, 258*, 113284. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2019.113284

[24] Atugoda, T., Vithanage, M., Wijesekara, H., et al. (2021). Interactions between microplastics, pharmaceuticals and personal care products: Implications for vector transport. *Environment International, 149*, 106367. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2020.106367

[25] Amobonye, A., Bhagwat, P., Singh, S., et al. (2021). Plastic biodegradation: Frontline microbes and their enzymes. *Science of The Total Environment, 759*, 143536. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.143536

[26] NTNU Gemini. (2001). *The journey of plastic: Versatility and waste challenges*. Retrieved from http://www.ntnu.no/gemini

[27] CIPET (Central Institute of Plastics Engineering & Technology). (n.d.). *Plastics statistics*. Retrieved from http://cipet.gov.in/plastics_statics.html

[28] NPR. (2009). A brief history of plastic's world conquest. *NPR*. Retrieved from

ISBN: 978-93-343-1044-3

http://www.npr.org/templates/story/story.php?storyId=114331762
[29] Scientific American. (2009). A brief history of plastic's world conquest. *Scientific American*. Retrieved from http://www.scientificamerican.com/article.cfm?id=a-brief-history-of-plastic-world-conquest
[30] The Telegraph. (2009). Drowning in plastic: The Great Pacific Garbage Patch is twice the size of France. *The Telegraph*. Retrieved from http://www.telegraph.co.uk/earth/environment/5208645/Drowning-in-plastic-The-Great-Pacific-Garbage-Patch-is-twice-the-size-of-France.html
[31] The Guardian. (2008). Plastic bags: A global environmental challenge. *The Guardian*. Retrieved from http://www.guardian.co.uk/environment/2008/feb/23/plasticbags.waste
[32] Life Less Plastic. (2010). Toxic America: CNN highlights plastic pollution. *Life Less Plastic*. Retrieved from http://lifelessplastic.blogspot.com/2010/06/toxic-america-im-on-cnn-homepage.html
[33] Care2. (2010). Safe plastics for lunchboxes. *Care2*. Retrieved from http://www.care2.com/greenliving/safe-plastics-for-lunchboxes.html
[34] Danso, D., Chow, J., & Streit, W. R. (2019). Plastics: Environmental and biotechnological perspectives on microbial degradation. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 85(19), e01095-19. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01095-19
[35] Yoshida, S., Hiraga, K., Takehana, T., et al. (2016). A bacterium that degrades and assimilates poly(ethylene terephthalate). *Science*, 351(6278), 1196-1199. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aad6359
[36] Geyer, R., Jambeck, J. R., & Law, K. L. (2017). Production, use, and fate of all plastics ever made. *Science Advances*, 3(7), e1700782. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1700782
[37] Priyanka, N., & Archana, T. (2011). Biodegradability of polythene and plastic by the help of microbial tools: A recent approach. *International Journal of Biomedical and Advance Research*, 2(9), 344-355. https://doi.org/10.7439/ijbar
[38] Singh, B., & Sharma, N. (2008). Mechanistic implications of plastic degradation. *Polymer Degradation and Stability*, 93(3), 561-584. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymdegradstab.2007.11.008
[39] Yoshida, S., Hiraga, K., Takehana, T., et al. (2016). A bacterium that degrades and assimilates poly(ethylene terephthalate). *Science*, 351(6278), 1196-1199. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aad6359
[40] Urbanek, A. K., Rymowicz, W., & Mirończuk, A. M. (2018). Degradation of plastics and plastic-degrading bacteria in cold marine habitats. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 102(18), 7669-7678. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-018-9195-y
[41] Danso, D., Chow, J., & Streit, W. R. (2019). Plastics: Environmental and biotechnological perspectives on microbial degradation. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 85(19), e01095-19. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01095-19
[42] Priyanka, N., & Archana, T. (2011). Biodegradability of polythene and plastic by the help of microbial tools: A recent approach. *International Journal of Biomedical and Advance Research*, 2(9), 344-355. https://doi.org/10.7439/ijbar
[43] Singh, B., & Sharma, N. (2008). Mechanistic implications of plastic degradation. *Polymer Degradation and Stability*, 93(3), 561-584. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymdegradstab.2007.11.008
[44] Geyer, R., Jambeck, J. R., & Law, K. L. (2017). Production, use, and fate of all plastics ever made. *Science Advances*, 3(7), e1700782. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1700782
[45] Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEFCC). (2016). Plastic Waste Management Rules. https://moef.gov.in
[46] Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEFCC). (2022). Ban on Single-Use Plastics Notification. https://moef.gov.in
[47] Department of Biotechnology (DBT). (2015). National Biotechnology Development Strategy. https://dbtindia.gov.in
[48] Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR). Annual Reports. https://csir.res.in
[49] Swachh Bharat Abhiyan, Government of India. https://swachhbharat.mygov.in
[50] National Action Plan on Climate Change, Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change. https://moef.gov.in
[51] Kyoto University (2016). Study on PET degradation by *Ideonella sakaiensis*. Retrieved from https://kyoto-u.ac.jp.
[52] Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (India). Plastic Waste Management Rules, 2016 & Amendments (2021). Retrieved from https://moef.gov.in.
[53] Indian Oil Corporation (2018). Biodiesel Production from Waste Cooking Oil. Retrieved from https://iocl.com.
[54] National Biogas Mission (India). Ministry of New and Renewable Energy (MNRE). Retrieved from https://mnre.gov.in.
[55] Smart City Initiatives (Pune and Indore). Municipal Solid Waste Management Systems (2020). Retrieved from https://smartcities.gov.in.
[56] Carbios (2020). Enzyme-Based Recycling of PET Plastics. Retrieved from https://carbios.com.
[57] Tamil Nadu State Bioethanol Initiative (2019). Food Waste Conversion to Bioethanol. Retrieved from https://tn.gov.in.
[58] United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). Goal 12: Responsible Consumption and Production. Retrieved from https://sdgs.un.org/goals.
[59] Hyderabad Pyrolysis Plants (2022). Medical Plastic Waste Conversion to Fuel. Retrieved from https://telangana.gov.in.
[60] https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9920460/
[61] https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2023/sep/28/plastic-eating-bacteria-enzyme-recycling-waste
[62] Eriksen, Marcus, et al. Freshwater Microplastics: Emerging Environmental Contaminants? by Martin Wagner and Scott Lambert, Springer International Publishing, 2018, pp. 273–298, link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-319-61615-5_13
[63] Thompson, Andrea. "Solving Microplastic Pollution Means Reducing, Recycling-and Fundamental Rethinking." Scientific American, Scientific American, 12 Nov. 2018, 28 www.scientificamerican.com/article/solving-microplastic-pollution-means-reducing-recy cling-mdash-and-fundamental-rethinking1/.
[64] Fall, Plastic-Eating Microbes: a New Potential Solution to Waste Mitigation?, by Megan Forbes Portland State University, 2021 pp.9-10

ISBN: 978-93-343-1044-3